# Title of the paper

retp

You can enter the title of the paper in the grey box.

### **Evaluation criteria**

You can answer the evaluation criteria in the grey boxes of the table.

Does the study qualify as a scientific text?

Does the title of the study match the content?

Is the abstract clear enough? Does it reflect the content of the article?

Are the keywords appropriate?

Is the language of the study appropriate to the academic style?

Is the study well structured?

Are all relevant sources cited in the literature review?

In the literature review, do the author(s) present the latest scientific findings?

Are the research question(s) and hypothesis(es) formulated based on the studies presented in the literature review?

Are the research question(s) relevant?

Are the methods presented in a clear and punctual way?

Are the methods used appropriate to answer the research problem?

What is the level of novelty of the results?

Is the conclusion chapter clear in presenting the conclusions?



In the conclusion/summary chapter, do the author(s) reflect on the differences and/or similarities between their own research and the results of the cited studies?

#### Are the references appropriate?

Are the studies indicated in the text found in the reference list? Are the studies in the reference list found in the text?



# **Text evaluation**

Click on the grey box to briefly summarise your comments!



## **Additional comments**

Additional comments can be noted by clicking on the grey box below.

### **Final evaluation**

Choose one of the options below, then indicate your choice with an underline or bold font!

- A. The study is accepted without changes and recommended for publication.
- B. The study is accepted with minor corrections and recommended for publication.
- C. The study is accepted for publication, but major revisions are requested.
- D. The study is not suitable for publication.

### Date

Click here, then click on the arrow on the right to select the date!